

APR-24-2006 18:07

QUINTERO LAW OFFICE

Attorney Docket No. 10113881

RECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER
310 401 6185 P.01/05

APR 24 2006 PATENT

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicant: Chih-Chiang SU

Filed: 3/3/2004

Appl. No.: 10/792,366

Examiner: VU, PHU

Conf. No.: 4262

Art Unit: 2871

Title: ELECTRONIC DEVICE AND ESD PREVENTION METHOD THEREOF

Date: April 24, 2006

Mail Stop Amendment
Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

RESPONSE COVER SHEET

Sir:

Attached hereto please find a response to the Office Action mailed on January 24, 2006.

No fee is believed to be due in connection with the filing of this paper. If, however, the Commissioner considers that a fee is due in connection with the filing of this paper, authorization is made to charge any fee which may be required to Deposit Account No. 502447.

Certificate of Mailing or Transmission

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal service with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to Mail Stop Amendment, Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450, or is being facsimile transmitted to the United States Patent & Trademark Office to (571) 273-8300 on the date shown below:

Nelson A. Quintero

(Name of Person Transmitting)

(Signature)

April 24, 2006

(Date)

P117078NAQ

Respectfully submitted,



Nelson A. Quintero
Attorney for Applicant
Reg. No. 52,143
Customer No. 34,283

Telephone: (310) 401-6180

Attorney Docket No. 10113881

PATENT**IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE****RECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER**Applicant: **Chih-Chiang SU**Filed: **3/3/2004****APR 24 2006**Appl. No.: **10/792,366**Examiner: **VU, PHU**Conf. No.: **4262**Art Unit: **2871**Title: **ELECTRONIC DEVICE AND ESD PREVENTION METHOD THEREOF**Date: **April 24, 2006**

Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

RESPONSE

Sir:

In response to the Office Action mailed on January 24, 2006, Applicant respectfully requests that the above-identified application be reconsidered in view of the remarks which follow, that each of the pending claims be allowed, and that the application be passed to issue.

REMARKS**Present Status of Application**

Claims 1-16 are pending. Claims 1-6, 9-10 and 13-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Huynh (US 6,317,172). Claims 7, 11 and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Huynh in view of Kim (US 5,517,342). Claims 8, 12 and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Huynh in view of Yuuki et al (US 6,181,071, hereinafter "Yuuki").

Applicant submits that claims 1-16 are clearly in condition for allowance, as will be discussed in further detail herein below. The remarks of the instant response are provided to further clarify and distinguish Applicant's invention over the prior art relied upon by the Examiner in the Final Office Action.